

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/090,362 03/04/2002. Basil Naji BALDS2.031AUS 5166 EXAMINER 20995 03/09/2004 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP MARCANTONI, PAUL D 2040 MAIN STREET **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 1755

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	A continent(a)	m
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/090,362	NAJI ET AL.	
	omoo modon odminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAN INC DATE of the	Paul Marcantoni	1755	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	<u> </u>
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PERIOD FOR RELEASING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PROBLEM SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mailing date of the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this comm  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status	·			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	1 March 2002.		
2a)☐		his action is non-final.		
3)□				
Disposit	ion of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>				
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	ı
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachmen	t(s)			·
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	F-1	)/Mail Dâte Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/090,362

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a method of mixing fly ash and water to reduce the amount of water of a cement slurry, classified in class 501, subclass 32.

II. Claims 16-33, drawn to a method of making a cement slurry containing fly ash, classified in class 106, subclass 705.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product from merely mixing fly ash and water is deemed to be useful for making a ceramic material, a roadway base material (without any cement), etc. and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Note: Applicants' claim 1 contains no cement so there is no cement slurry but merely a slurry of water and fly ash. Also, the U.S. patents cited on the PTO-892 have been presented to show that fly ash and water mixtures can have different uses other

Application/Control Number: 10/090,362

Art Unit: 1755

than merely adding to a cement slurry to make a cement composition. They are Lynn et al. (6,387,175 B1), Golitz et al. (5,583,079), and Singh (6,204,214).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Sanders on 3/1/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 1755

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755